REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant once again wishes to thank the Examiner for examining the application. Claim 4 has been canceled without prejudice. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-11, and 13 are now pending in this application. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. Support for amended claims 1 and 2 can be found in claims 1,2, and 4 of the originally filed application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending rejections and reexamination of the present application in view of the following remarks.

Claims rejection – 35 USC § 103 ("Boulos, Gavish and Tedeschi")

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Boulos et al. (US Pat. 6,904,073) in view of Tedeschi et al. (Acad.Sci. 2002 Vol. 973,pp. 435-437) and Gavish et al. (Lipoprotein (a) reduction by Nacetylcysteine, Lancet 1991, Vol 337, pp.204.)

Applicant has now narrowed the scope of the claim to include the limitation of the dependent claim 4 of the originally filed application. Applicant submits now that there is no prima facie obviousness case. Specifically, the limitation of the specific amount of the compositions of "approximately 0.5 mg of Moylbdenum, approximately 5 mg of Pottasium, approximately 15 mg of Citrus Bioflavonoids, approximately 5 mg of L-Carnitine, approximately 25 mg of Glucosamine, approximately 50mg of Taurine, and approximately 15 mg of Chondroitin Sulfate" are not disclosed in any of the prior art as cited by the Examiner. Examiner bear the burden, for demonstrating a prima facie case of obviousness, where each limitation is taught in the prior art.

Application No.: 10/801,262

In lieu of the amended claims, applicant believes that not all the claim limitations

are taught by the prior art and therefore the claims would not have been prima facie

obvious to a skilled artisan.

Accordingly, applicant believes the claims are now in a state for issuance.

CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons set forth herein, Applicant respectfully submits that all the

rejections have been overcome and all the pending claims are allowable. Accordingly,

withdraw of the rejection and allowance of the present application is warranted. If the

Examiner has any questions pertaining to this application or feels that a telephone

conference would in any way expedite the prosecution of the application, please do not

hesitate to call the undersigned at (650) 941-1196.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this

case.

Respectfully submitted,

Ali Kamarei, Esq.

Reg. No. 37,000

Ali Kamarei, Esq. 280 Colorado Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94301 Tel: (650) 941-1196